WHEREAS, the 1967 *Bellas Hess* and the 1992 *Quill* Supreme Court decisions denied states the authority to require the collection of sales and use taxes by out-of-state sellers that have no physical presence in the taxing state; and

WHEREAS, the combined weight of the inability to collect sales and use taxes due on remote sales through traditional carriers and the tax erosion from electronic commerce threatens the future viability of the sales tax as a stable revenue source for state and local governments; and

WHEREAS, a report from the National Taxpayers Union has estimated that from 2015 to 2025 states will be unable to collect $340 billion in sales taxes that are owed from out-of-state purchases; and

WHEREAS, the Remote Transactions Parity Act is bi-partisan legislation that was introduced in the United States House of Representatives which authorizes each member state under the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement to require all sellers not qualifying for a small-seller exception to collect and remit sales and use taxes with respect to remote sales, and allows a state that is not a member state under the Agreement to require sellers to collect and remit sales and use taxes with respect to remote sales sourced to such state if the state adopts and implements certain minimum simplification requirements; and
WHEREAS, unlike federal proposals, such as the Online Sales Simplification Act (OSSA), which would determine a product’s taxability based on the location of the seller, the Remote Transactions Parity Act does not preempt or impose new requirements on states that choose not to comply with the legislation’s requirements and simplifications; and

WHEREAS, unlike federal proposals, such as the Online Sales Simplification Act (OSSA), which would determine a product’s taxability based on the location of the seller, the Remote Transactions Parity Act does not impose new taxes on consumers, fundamentally change how states raise revenue, establish tax havens, or jeopardize the viability of consumption taxes as a revenue source for states; and

WHEREAS, it has been over three years since the United States Senate overwhelming passed similar legislation, the Marketplace Fairness Act, yet the Remote Transactions Parity Act has not even received a hearing, despite the fact that it has 65 cosponsors and enjoys broad support in the committee of jurisdiction and congress; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, the National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL) appreciates the leadership of U. S. Senators Richard Durbin (Ill.), Mike Enzi (Wyo.), Lamar Alexander (Tenn.) and Heidi Heitkamp (N.D.) for championing this issue in the Senate; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the National Conference of State Legislatures appreciates the leadership of Congressman Chaffetz and his colleagues in drafting the Remote Transactions Parity Act and urges Congress to pass the legislation, co-sponsored in the House by Congressman Steve Womack (Ark.), Congressman John Conyers (Mich.), Congresswoman Kristi Noem (S.D.), Congresswoman Jackie Speier (CA.), Congressman Peter Welch (Vt.), and dozens of their colleagues; and
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the National Conference of State Legislatures opposes federal remote sales tax legislation that preempts the laws of states that choose to not comply with the legislation’s requirements; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the National Conference of State Legislatures opposes federal remote sales tax legislation that does not establish parity at the point of purchase, which is necessary to level the playing field between remote sellers and in-state businesses;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the National Conference of State Legislatures opposes federal remote sales tax legislation that does not establish a destination sourcing tax regime, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, a copy of this resolution be sent to the President of the United States and to all of the members of the 114th Congress.